FIR/T154

FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 154 FIR/T154

THE BIOLOGY AND STATUS OF STOCKS OF SMALL TUNAS

Report of an Ad Hoc Committee of Specialists

Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A., 15-18 December 1975

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2025

https://archive.org/details/fao-fisheries-and-aquaculture-technical-paper_1976_154

FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 154

FIR/T154

LIBRARY SEP 8 7 1976

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME

THE BIOLOGY AND STATUS OF STOCKS OF SMALL TUNAS

Report of an Ad Hoc Committee of Specialists

Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A., 15-18 December 1975

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
Rome, 1976

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

M-42

ISBN 92-5-100020-4

The copyright in this book is vested in the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. The book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, by any method or process, without written permission from the copyright holder. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of the reproduction desired, should be addressed to the Director, Publications Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Via delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy.

© FAO 1976

PREPARATION OF THIS PAPER

This paper was prepared as one element of the cooperative project of the United Nations Environment Programme and the Food and Agriculture Organization on the monitoring of environmental effects on marine world fishery resources. It consists of the report of a meeting of the Ad hoc Committee of Specialists to Review the Biology and Status of Stocks of Small Tunas, which was sponsored by FAO's Expert Panel for the Facilitation of Tuna Research. Attendance at the meeting was supported by the FAO/UNEP project. This document was prepared with considerable assistance from others who participated in the meeting, especially the scientific and support staff of the Honolulu Laboratory of the Southwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

Distribution:

Participants in the meeting
Members of ACMRR
Panel of Experts for the Facilitation of Tuna Research
Members of the Indian Ocean Fishery Commission
Members of the Indo-Pacific Fisheries Council
ICCAT
I-ATTC
FAO Depa tment of Fisheries
Fishery Officers in FAO Regional Offices
Selector SM

Bibliographic Entry:

Ad Hoc Committee Meeting of Specialists to Review the Biology and Status of Stocks of Small Tunas. Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A., 15-18 December 1975 (1976)
FAO Fish.Tech.Pap., (154):21 p.
The biology and status of stocks of small tunas. A report of an .....

FAO fishery reports. Symposia. Tunas. Marine ecology. Taxonomy. Fish catch statistics. Fishery industrial products. Fishery management.

CONTENTS

		Page
1.	OPENING OF THE MEETING	1
2.	ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE MEETING	1
3.	CODEX ALIMENTARIUS	1
4.	REVIEW OF THE BIOLOGY AND STATUS OF STOCKS OF SMALL TUNAS	2
	4.1 *Katsuwonus pelamis*	2
	4.1.1 Taxonomy	2
	4.1.2 Statistics	2
	4.1.3 Current utilization	3
	4.1.4 Future utilization	3
	4.1.5 Research requirements	3
	4.1.6 Management problems	3
	4.2 *Auxis* spp.	3
	4.2.1 Taxonomy	3
	4.2.2 Statistics	4
	4.2.3 Current utilization	4
	4.2.4 Future utilization	4
	4.2.5 Research requirements	4
	4.2.6 Management problems	5
	4.3 *Sarda* spp.	5
	4.3.1 Taxonomy	5
	4.3.2 Statistics	5
	4.3.3 Current utilization	5
	4.3.4 Future utilization	5
	4.3.5 Research requirements	6
	4.3.6 Management problems	6

		Page
4.4	Euthynnus spp.	6
	4.4.1 Taxonomy	6
	4.4.2 Statistics	6
	4.4.3 Current utilization	6
	4.4.4 Future utilization	6
	4.4.5 Research requirements	7
	4.4.6 Management problems	7
4.5	Thunnus atlanticus	7
	4.5.1 Taxonomy	7
	4.5.2 Statistics	7
	4.5.3 Current utilization	7
	4.5.4 Future utilization	7
	4.5.5 Research requirements	7
	4.5.6 Management problems	7
4.6	Thunnus tonggol	8
	4.6.1 Taxonomy	8
	4.6.2 Statistics	8
	4.6.3 Current utilization	8
	4.6.4 Future utilization	8
	4.6.5 Research requirements	8
	4.6.6 Management problems	8
4.7	Scomberomorus spp.	8
	4.7.1 Taxonomy	8
	4.7.2 Statistics	9
	4.7.3 Current utilization	9
	4.7.4 Future utilization	9

Page

4.7.5 Research requirements 9

4.7.6 Management problems 9

4.8 Other small tunas (Orcynopsis unicolor, Cybiosarda elegans, Acanthocybium solandri, Allothunnus fallai, and Gasterochisma melampus) 9

4.8.1 Taxonomy 9

4.8.2 Statistics 10

4.8.3 Current utilization 10

4.8.4 Future utilization 10

4.8.5 Research requirements 10

4.8.6 Management problems 10

5. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS 10

5.1 General recommendations 10

5.1.1 Statistics 10

5.1.1.1 Recommendation 10

5.1.1.2 Recommendation 11

5.1.1.3 Recommendation 11

5.1.2 Research requirements 11

5.1.2.1 Recommendation 11

5.1.2.2 Recommendation 11

5.1.2.3 Recommendation 12

5.2 Specific recommendations 12

5.2.1 Codex Alimentarius 12

5.2.2 Katsuwonus pelamis 12

5.2.3 Auxis spp. 12

5.2.3.1 Recommendation 12

5.2.3.2 Recommendation 13

5.2.3.3 Recommendation 13

	Page
5.2.3.4 Recommendation	13
5.2.4 *Sarda* spp.	13
5.2.5 *Thunnus atlanticus*	13
5.2.6 *Thunnus tonggol*	13
5.2.6.1 Recommendation	13
5.2.6.2 Recommendation	14
5.2.7 *Scomberomorus* spp.	14
5.2.8 Other small tunas	14
Appendix A - List of Participants	16
Appendix B - Agenda	18
Appendix C - List of Background Papers	19
Appendix D - Complete List of Documentation	21

REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE MEETING OF SPECIALISTS TO REVIEW THE BIOLOGY AND STATUS OF STOCKS OF SMALL TUNAS

Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A., 15-18 December 1975

1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

The FAO-sponsored Ad Hoc Committee of specialists to review the biology and status of stocks of small tunas met in Honolulu, Hawaii (U.S.A.) from 15 through 18 December 1975. A list of the members of the Committee and other participants is given in Appendix A of this report. Mr. Shomura, Director of the Honolulu Laboratory, Southwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, organized the meeting at the request of FAO and chaired the session.

After welcoming participants, Mr. Shomura reviewed the general terms of reference for the meeting. These terms of reference were:

(i) review and discuss the biology and status of stocks of species or groups of species belonging to Auxis spp., Euthynnus spp., Sarda spp., Thunnus atlanticus, T. tonggol, and Scomberomorus spp.

(ii) review briefly other species of small tunas, including Katsuwonus pelamis.

(iii) make recommendations to FAO on what the Working Party on Development of Fisheries for Species of Small Tunas should consider in their future deliberations and activities.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE MEETING

The agenda, as adopted by the participants, is given in Appendix B of this report. The background papers that provided the principal references for the meeting are listed in Appendix C. The complete list of documentation for the meeting is given in Appendix D.

3. CODEX ALIMENTARIUS

The Committee discussed the draft Standard for Canned Tuna and Bonito in Water or Oil (ALIFORM 74/18) and its possible effects on development of fisheries for small tunas not currently included in the list considered for adoption by the Codex Alimentarius Commission. The Committee noted that omission of several genera of tunas and bonitos including Auxis, Allothunnus, Cybiosarda, Gymnosarda, and Orcynopsis, could have an undesirable influence on a country's plans to develop fisheries for those species for the international market trade. Under the proposed standards, Auxis should not be sold as either tuna or bonito; however, many developing countries have no prejudices against packing Auxis as tuna. The Committee noted that Auxis probably has the packing qualities of other tuna species currently being canned; therefore, the Committee recommended that Auxis be considered for inclusion in the tunas and bonitos by the Codex Alimentarius Commission. It was suggested that the concern of the Committee be made known to the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standard Programme CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION.

4. REVIEW OF THE BIOLOGY AND STATUS OF STOCKS OF SMALL TUNAS

The Committee reviewed a list of species in the family Scombridae which could be included in the general category of "small tunas and related species." Based on the general information available, the Committee agreed to confine detailed discussion to a group which included Katsuwonus pelamis, Auxis spp., Sarda spp., Euthynnus spp., Thunnus atlanticus, T. tonggol, and Scomberomorus spp. Detailed discussion of a second category, which included species of Acanthocybium, Gymnosarda, Allothunnus, Cybiosarda, Gasterochisma, Orcynopsis, and Grammatorcynus, was left for a future meeting of the Working Party on Small Tunas. The Committee commented that species in the genera Rastrelliger and Scomber already formed the basis of important fisheries in many parts of the world and were the subject of considerable research; thus, the Committee did not consider these groups at this meeting.

The following provides a summary of the discussions on species listed in the first category:

4.1 Katsuwonus pelamis

The Committee noted with appreciation the excellent background paper on skipjack tuna prepared by Walter M. Matsumoto and Robert A. Skillman (see Appendix C).

Although the Committee reviewed only briefly the status of skipjack tuna, the Committee noted that this species is worldwide in distribution, forms the basis of substantial fisheries throughout the world, and is first in total world landings among the tunas. Because of its importance, the Committee felt that the skipjack tuna deserved the full attention of a separate Working Party whose sole purpose would be to review and assess on a continuing basis the biology and stocks of skipjack tuna throughout the world.

4.1.1 Taxonomy

The taxonomic identity of skipjack tuna, Katsuwonus pelamis, presents no problems.

4.1.2 Statistics

The skipjack tuna is easily recognized visually and is seldom confused with other species by fishermen. In some countries, however, the skipjack tuna is often referred to as bonito and hence there is a problem with the recording of statistics at national and international levels.

The Committee noted that in the past considerable quantities of skipjack tuna have been recorded as "tuna" or "bonito." However, with the recent increase in the market price of skipjack tuna there has been an increasing amount of other species, e.g. Euthunnus, being reported as skipjack tuna. A further complication is that unknown quantities of small skipjack tuna are dumped at sea for various reasons

Compared to other small tunas, the skipjack tuna catch statistics are comparatively good; however, in view of the dominance of this species in the world tuna landings, the catch and effort statistics of skipjack tuna are not sufficiently standardized for reliable assessment of the stock size.

4.1.3 Current utilization

It is estimated that the following catches were made in 1974 from the world's oceans:

Pacific Ocean	575,000	metric tons
Indian Ocean	50,000	" "
Atlantic Ocean	115,000	" "
Total	740,000	" "

4.1.4 Future utilization

Over the past several years the world catch of skipjack tuna has steadily increased; it is believed however, that the resource can be exploited at a still higher level. The actual magnitude of skipjack tuna stocks cannot be ascertained at present and all available estimates are to be considered preliminary at best.

4.1.5 Research requirements

Considering the importance of this species in the world catch of tuna and the known lack of data on many aspects of its biology, the Committee feels that more attention and funds should be allocated to the research on this species. At present our understanding of the population dynamics is so inadequate that none of the proposed population models appears to reflect an actual situation.

4.1.6 Management problems

As the skipjack tuna is mobile, far ranging species exploited by fishermen of numerous nations, management of the stocks will have to be on an international basis.

4.2 *Auxis* spp.

4.2.1 Taxonomy

The Committee noted that in certain areas of the world, two species of *Auxis* are recognized. In Japan the two species are separated in the market, since one commands a higher price than the other, but they are not separated in the published statistics of Japan. In the Indian Ocean there apparently is no problem in separating the species. In west Africa, where the two species occur, no distinction is made between the two. There is some evidence (document Ad Hoc ST/11 referenced in Appendix C) to indicate that morphological characters used to differentiate *Auxis thazard* from *A. rochei* in the Atlantic Ocean were not reliable, and that there may be only a single species of *Auxis*.

The Committee noted that confusion is created by using the common name frigate mackerel for species of *Auxis*. Thus, *Auxis* is often confused with other "mackerel" species, e.g. horse mackerel, *Trachurus* spp. (a carangid), Pacific and Atlantic mackerel, *Scomber* spp. (a scombrid), and Spanish mackerel, *Scomberomorus* spp. (another scombrid).

4.2.2 Statistics

The Committee noted that the published statistics of Auxis underestimates the true catch of this group. Underreporting is attributed to (i) artisanal catches not being fully reported, (ii) the confusion and inclusion of Auxis with other species, and (iii) catches of Auxis often being discarded. With reference to the latter, the amount of Auxis discarded in some years by the tuna fleets in the eastern Pacific Ocean and the eastern Atlantic Ocean probably exceeds the published landings. It was pointed out that the problem of discards does not occur in the Indian Ocean and that the catch of Auxis is fully utilized there.

The Committee noted that from a numerical standpoint, Auxis is probably the most abundant of the tunas. This observation was based on the oceanwide distribution of larval and juvenile Auxis, the common occurrence of Auxis in stomachs of predator fishes, and the high counts of Auxis larvae in plankton hauls.

4.2.3 Current utilization

Although reliable data are not available, the Committee felt that the amount of Auxis currently being utilized is probably between 60,000 and 100,000 metric tons. The estimated breakdown by oceans is:

Atlantic Ocean	15,000-20,000 metric tons
Pacific Ocean	30,000-60,000 " "
Indian Ocean	15,000-20,000 " "

While most of the larger tuna species are used predominately for canning, the present utilization of Auxis is extremely varied. In Japan, Auxis is dried to produce "kezuri-bushi" and "namari-bushi," eaten raw as "sashimi," and used as pet food. In Sri Lanka, Auxis is utilized fresh in curry dishes or pickled with tamarind and salt. Fair amounts of Auxis are also used as longline bait in the Indian Ocean. In the Maldive Islands, Auxis is boiled and dried, while in Africa it is smoked, dried, or utilized fresh. It was noted that in some areas Auxis is packed and sold as tuna.

4.2.4 Future utilization

The Committee discussed at some length the prospects of increased utilization of this group in the future. It was pointed out that this group is noticeably absent from the current international market trade, probably because the cost of processing the small Auxis is too high for present canning procedures. The Committee noted that while reliable total yield estimates are not available, the Auxis resource is probably large enough to provide for a substantial increase in catch; however, based on current economics and market structures, the world production will probably not increase to the level of maximum sustainable yield for the species of Auxis considered.

4.2.5 Research requirements

The Committee noted that considerable work needs to be done on Auxis including species identification, stock identity, and stock assessment.

The Committee also noted that a considerable amount of detailed published and unpublished data on larval Auxis are available. However, these data have not been synthesized and analyzed thoroughly.

4.2.6 Management problems

The Committee noted that the potential world yield of Auxis is great, and for the near future did not foresee any management problems other than on a localized level.

4.3 Sarda spp.

4.3.1 Taxonomy

No major problems; the Sarda group has been recently reviewed taxonomically by Collette and Chao (1975).

4.3.2 Statistics

The Committee noted that the reported catches of Sarda in the Atlantic Ocean for past years were overestimates, since they included other species which were labeled bonito. It was pointed out that even the skipjack tuna were placed under the bonito category by some countries. In the eastern Pacific the catch statistics of Sarda chiliensis were reported to be reliable. In the western Pacific, however, there appears to be a need to upgrade the collection of adequate statistics.

4.3.3 Current utilization

Bonito is consumed fresh or canned. The Committee noted that canned bonito is sold as tuna in some countries. It was emphasized that canned bonito has good texture and flavor. Estimates of world utilization of bonito were as follows:

Atlantic Ocean	10,000- 60,000	metric tons
Pacific Ocean	20,000-120,000	" "
Indian Ocean	500- 1,000	" "
Total	30,500-181,000	" "

The Committee drew special attention to the fact that the bonito landings of several fisheries, e.g. Peru, showed very wide fluctuations.

4.3.4 Future utilization

The Committee noted that a major expansion of the fishery for bonito accompanied by consistently high catches is not likely to occur on a worldwide basis; however, there appears to be a potential for the development of fisheries for bonito in the Indian Ocean and Red Sea.

4.3.5 Research requirements

The mechanism responsible for the wide fluctuation in catches of bonito needs to be understood. Studies on stock identity and stock assessment need to be undertaken, especially the identity of the bonito stock found off the coasts of Peru and Chile. There is a paucity of reliable data on the age and growth of bonito; the several studies available have indicated drastically different age and growth estimates. The Committee noted that the use of a different aging technique, e.g. reading daily growth increments on otoliths, may solve this problem.

4.3.6 Management problems

On the basis of available information, the Committee concluded that the Sarda stocks were localized and thus problems in management would probably be best examined on a subregional level.

4.4 Euthynnus spp.

4.4.1 Taxonomy

No major problems; three species have been clearly defined.

4.4.2 Statistics

The reported catch of Euthynnus is generally considered to be an underestimate. This group is often listed as bonito or skipjack tuna, or is confused with Auxis; catches of Euthynnus are sometimes not reported at all. The Committee expressed concern that discards at sea by the tuna fleets may represent a substantial part of the unreported catch.

4.4.3 Current utilization

While the reported catch has been given as 39,000 metric tons (32,000 tons from the Pacific and Indian oceans, 7,000 tons from the Atlantic Ocean), the Committee considered a truer figure to be around 60,000 metric tons (40,000 tons from the Pacific and Indian oceans and 20,000 tons from the Atlantic Ocean). Euthynnus is utilized fresh, smoked, and dried, and is also canned for human consumption and pet food.

4.4.4 Future utilization

Although the magnitude of the Euthynnus resource cannot be estimated from available information, the coastal nature of this species suggests that the stock size in a given area may be relatively small. This is especially true when Euthynnus is compared to Auxis; the latter is considered by the Committee to represent a very large resource base. The Committee noted that any major exploitation of Euthynnus will remain an adjunct to fishing for other tuna species.

It is conceivable that Euthynnus will grow in importance in some small-scale coastal fisheries.

4.4.5 Research requirements

There is need for studies on stock identity and stock assessment. The Committee felt that it was difficult to recommend major research undertakings for this group since Euthynnus does not dominate the catch of tuna in any area. Research on this group, thus will probably be subsidiary to research on other tuna species.

4.4.6 Management problems

The Committee noted that there did not appear to be any immediate management problems with Euthynnus, however, the Committee cautioned that care should be exercised in expanding a fishery for Euthynnus since the stocks appear to be small and discrete.

4.5 Thunnus atlanticus

4.5.1 Taxonomy

This species is found only in the Atlantic Ocean and its taxonomy poses no problems.

4.5.2 Statistics

The Committee noted that the reported catches of blackfin tuna are probably underestimates of the true catches, since blackfin tuna have been reported together with catches of skipjack tuna and other tuna species. Further, it was noted that some of the catches of blackfin tuna were not reported at all. A factor mentioned in the incorrect listing of this species was that it is at times confused with small bigeye and yellowfin tunas. On the basis of available information it appears that the total blackfin tuna catch ranges from 500 to 2,000 metric tons annually.

4.5.3 Current utilization

Currently 500 to 2,000 metric tons of blackfin tuna are landed and the catch is utilized mainly as fresh or canned products.

4.5.4 Future utilization

The Committee was of the opinion that a substantial increase in the catch of blackfin tuna is not expected with the present methods of fishing employed, i.e., trolling, live-bait fishing, and longlining.

4.5.5 Research requirements

The principal research need is for a stock assessment. There have been unconfirmed reports of the occurrence of this species in the eastern Atlantic Ocean; this needs to be verified.

4.5.6 Management problems

The occurrence of this species for brief periods off the coasts of several countries in the western Atlantic suggests that it migrates extensively. If

this is the case, management problems will be at a regional level and international in scope.

4.6 Thunnus tonggol

4.6.1 Taxonomy

Although the name T. tonggol has been accepted as valid, the Committee was informed that biological indicators of T. tonggol from different areas suggested a possible occurrence of subspeciation. Differences have been noted in the size of fish at maturity, L_∞, length of pectoral fin, robustness of body, and in length-weight relationships of fish from different areas.

4.6.2 Statistics

The Committee was of the opinion that problems in statistics would arise, since the differentiation of T. tonggol from the young of other tunas is extremely difficult and since it occurs in that part of the world where the collection of adequate statistics is a problem. The Committee noted the need to improve data collection and the need to report tuna catch by its specific name.

4.6.3 Current utilization

No accurate estimate of current utilization was available since catch data were lacking from several fisheries; however, the Committee considered that the current annual catch of T. tonggol was probably on the order of 20,000 metric tons.

4.6.4 Future utilization

On the basis of available information, an increase in catch of T. tonggol from areas where it is presently being fished is not expected to be great; any increase will probably not exceed twice the current annual catch. There is a possibility that the potential catches from areas of its known occurrence, not presently fished, could be sizable.

4.6.5 Research requirements

The Committee agreed that stock identification and stock assessment studies were needed. Research conducted on a localized level may be adequate for this species since it is restricted largely to nearshore waters.

4.6.6 Management problems

The restricted distribution of T. tonggol to nearshore areas suggests that management problems may be of a subregional level rather than being of an oceanwide, international dimension.

4.7 Scomberomorus spp.

4.7.1 Taxonomy

The taxonomy of this genus is not well defined; however, the Committee

was informed that Dr. Bruce B. Collette of the National Marine Fisheries Service Systematics Laboratory was in the process of examining this problem.

4.7.2 Statistics

Although all species of this group are highly marketable, the catch statistics for this group were considered to be generally inadequate. For some countries the catches of several species of the group are combined principally because species differentiation is difficult. The Committee noted that at times the catch of Acanthocybium is included with Scomberomorus; thus further complicating the catch statistics.

4.7.3 Current utilization

FAO catch statistics for 1972 indicated that 76,000 metric tons of Scomberomorus were caught worldwide; however, the Committee, after evaluating the shortcomings of the reported landings, estimated that the true catch was probably around 150,000 metric tons annually. The greatest utilization of this group occurs in Southeast Asia, where the catch is primarily processed through local fresh fish markets.

4.7.4 Future utilization

To date there has been no attempt to quantitatively assess the stock size of any species of Scomberomorus; however, in light of the historical trends in catches and the great amount of fishing effort expended for these species, a significant increase in landings seems unlikely. The Committee did note that catches could perhaps be increased in some areas, e.g. Fiji, New Caledonia, and Brazil.

4.7.5 Research requirements

While circumstantial evidence indicates that the stocks of these species are fairly localized, the extent and the migration patterns of stocks supporting the more valuable fisheries must be determined.

4.7.6 Management problems

The stock structure of none of the species of Scomberomorus is known; thus, the number of countries fishing a given stock is not known. The Committee noted that management of Scomberomorus stocks will probably be complicated by the fact that other species are taken by the fishery. The multispecies aspect also complicates the evaluation of catch per unit of effort data.

4.8 Other small tunas (Orcynopsis unicolor, Cybiosarda elegans, Acanthocybium solandri, Allothunnus fallai, and Gasterochisma melampus)

4.8.1 Taxonomy

There appears to be no problem with the taxonomy of these species.

4.8.2 Statistics

The total landings of the several species of tunas considered in this section probably do not exceed several thousand tons annually.

Commercial catches of these species make up a minor and incidental segment of various fisheries; thus, catch statistics are generally not available. It has been estimated that 300-500 metric tons of Orcynopsis unicolor and about 1 metric ton of Cybiosarda elegans are landed. Acanthocybium solandri is caught with longline gear and is often included in the catch statistics of the longline fishery.

4.8.3 Current utilization

Most of the catch of these species is processed through fresh fish markets. The Committee noted that A. solandri landed in American Samoa is canned for local consumption. The annual pack of this species in American Samoa is approximately 3,800 cases.

4.8.4 Future utilization

With the exception of A. fallai, the Committee felt that there is very little likelihood of substantially increased catches of these species under existing fishing conditions.

4.8.5 Research requirements

In view of the very small catches of these species on a worldwide basis and the improbability of any substantial increase in catch, the Committee did not recommend concerted research of these species at this time. The Committee noted that Japan may have some data on several species and suggested that the Working Party of Small Tunas may wish to ask Japan to prepare brief status reports of A. fallai and G. melampus.

4.8.6 Management problems

None known.

5 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Highlights of the current status of selected species of small tunas are summarized in Table 1. The Committee noted that some problem areas were common to the several species or groups of species under discussion. General and specific recommendations made by the Committee for consideration by FAO are provided in the following sections:

5.1 General recommendations

5.1.1 Statistics

5.1.1.1 Recommendation

In order to provide a better basis for evaluating and managing the resources of small tunas,

the Committee recommended that FAO urge countries and the various international fisheries bodies to exert greater effort in differentiating species of small tunas in their catch statistics, and to provide the scientific names of the species in order to avoid compilation errors.

5.1.1.2 Recommendation

In order to faciliate the collection of better statistics on small tunas,

the Committee recommended that FAO expedite the issuance of species identification sheets covering all tunas and tunalike groups on a worldwide basis. Further, FAO should urge international agencies such as IATTC, ICCAT, IPFC, and IOFC to collect better statistics on small tunas, especially Auxis.

5.1.1.3 Recommendation

The Committee noted the practice of dumping undesired species at sea and recognized the need for these data in assessing the status of stocks, therefore,

the Committee recommended that FAO urge countries and the various international fisheries bodies to collect "dumping" statistics, especially for catches of Auxis spp., Euthynnus spp., and Orcynopsis unicolor, as well as the instances of dumping of small Thunnus albacares and small Katsuwonus pelamis.

5.1.2 Research requirements

5.1.2.1 Recommendation

The Committee noted the general lack of information on the biology, stock identity, and stock assessment of all of the species of small tunas under consideration; therefore,

the Committee recommended that FAO urge countries and the various international fisheries bodies having a common interest in small tuna to conduct individual or joint resource studies that could lead to a substantial increase in our understanding of the resources of small tunas and especially of stock identity and stock assessment.

5.1.2.2 Recommendation

The Committee recognized the value of the several background documents prepared for the present meeting; therefore,

M - 42
ISBN 92 - 5 - 100020 - 4